The Sorting Phenomenon

Scale Invariance of Information-Theoretic Sorting and the

Hermetic Principle of Correspondence

tratium

2026

Abstract. Two companion papers established that complex adaptive systems develop through the interaction of metastability (bounded variation), calibrated stress (perturbation), and information-constrained sorting (a developmental demon discriminating useful from harmful variation). The sorting demon is characterized by five parameters: substrate degeneracy D (the diversity of configurations available for selection), discrimination accuracy χ, energetic budget ε, retention fidelity μ, and scope alignment γ (whether the demon's sorting criterion serves system-level function). Computational experiments on Kuramoto oscillators and Kauffman NK landscapes confirmed three structural predictions: D is a prerequisite (sorting fails on substrates without structural diversity), the μ/σ ratio is the critical developmental threshold (when retention rate falls below noise rate, all other parameters are inert), and γ is irreducible (a misaligned demon with maximal χ, ε, and μ produces competent pathology at 48% of aligned system-level gain). This essay argues that the same five-parameter structure governs sorting at every scale where information-constrained agents convert variation into directional development - molecular, cellular, organismic, cognitive, civilizational, and cosmological. The Hermetic principle of Correspondence ("as above, so below") is the pre-formal recognition of this structural identity. The implications extend beyond developmental biology to the contact question: the phenomenology documented by Vallée and the contemplative traditions describes a sorting agent operating on human consciousness through calibrated perturbation, with the same parameters, the same failure modes, and the same irreducible dependence on scope alignment that the formal framework predicts.

1. The Argument from Structure

Two temptations beset any claim of scale invariance. The first is the aesthetic temptation: the pattern is beautiful, so it must be real. Mandelbrot's fractals, power laws in complex systems, the popular fascination with "as above, so below" - the history of science is littered with cases where structural similarity across scales turned out to be coincidence, artifact of measurement, or the human pattern-recognition faculty finding order in noise. The second is the deflationary temptation: because many such claims have been wrong, all such claims must be suspect. Both temptations should be resisted. The question is not whether the pattern is beautiful but whether it generates predictions that would not otherwise be made, and whether those predictions survive testing.

The sorting demon framework generates three such predictions, each confirmed computationally and each applicable across scales:

1. **Degeneracy is a prerequisite, not a parameter.** Sorting agents operating on substrates without structural diversity (D $\approx$ 0) are inert - mechanically operational but developmentally impotent. Confirmed on Kuramoto oscillators: the demon evaluated, discriminated, spent its budget, and produced zero directional gain because all oscillators

were identical (signal-to-noise ratio 0.14 - the demon could not see the effect of its own actions). Refuted on NK landscapes: the same demon, given a substrate with tunable degeneracy (structurally distinct genotypes producing overlapping phenotypes), sorted productively ($\Delta F = +0.099$ at zero noise).

2. **Retention must outpace noise.** When the retention rate falls below the perturbation rate ($\mu < \sigma$), all other parameters are inert. Confirmed across six parameter sweeps on the NK model: discrimination sharpness (β), energy budget (E), retention strength (μ), scope alignment (γ), and landscape ruggedness (K) were all flat when mutation rate exceeded the ratchet's pull rate. Only the noise sweep showed a transition - razor-sharp, between mutation rate 0 and 0.01.

3. **Scope alignment is irreducible.** A demon with maximal χ, ε, and μ but misaligned γ produces competent pathology - higher target fitness than the aligned demon ($\Delta F_{\text{target}} = +0.104$) at degraded system-level fitness ($\Delta F_{\text{global}} = +0.045$, 48% of aligned gain). The misaligned demon helps the system, but less than it helps itself. This is extraction, not destruction: partial global benefit as a byproduct of maximal local benefit.

These three predictions are structural. They follow from the logic of information-constrained sorting under thermodynamic cost, not from the specifics of any particular substrate. If the logic is scale-invariant - if the same constraints apply wherever an information-processing agent converts variation into directional development - then the predictions should hold at every scale where the conditions are met: a degenerate substrate, a discriminating agent, an energetic budget, a retention mechanism, and a scope condition.

2. The Scale Inventory

At each scale, the claim is the same: identify the five parameters, identify the failure modes, and test whether the interaction structure (D prerequisite, $\mu > \sigma$, γ irreducible) holds.

2.1. Molecular

The ribosome performing kinetic proofreading (Hopfield, 1974) is the canonical molecular sorting agent. χ: GTP-powered discrimination between correct and incorrect tRNA, achieving error rates orders of magnitude below what thermodynamic equilibrium would produce. ε: two GTP molecules hydrolyzed per amino acid incorporated - the energetic cost of the proofreading delay. μ: the completed protein folds into a thermodynamically stable structure that resists thermal denaturation - retention through structural stability. γ: the ribosome translates the mRNA the cell needs, not the mRNA that would benefit the ribosome itself (which, as a molecular machine, has no self-interest - $\gamma = 1$ by construction at this scale). D: the genetic code's degeneracy - 61 sense codons encoding 20 amino acids, providing multiple codons per amino acid and therefore multiple sequence paths to the same protein.

The failure modes map: aminoglycoside antibiotics reduce χ (the ribosome misreads codons). Energy depletion in metabolic crisis reduces ε (translation stalls). Prion diseases corrupt μ (misfolded proteins template the misfolding of correctly folded ones - a ratchet running in the wrong direction). Viral hijacking is a γ failure: the ribosome's sorting capacity is captured to translate viral RNA instead of host RNA. The ribosome still sorts excellently. It sorts for the wrong master.

2.2. Immune

The immune system spans the full parameter set across two architectures. Innate immunity provides hardcoded χ: pattern recognition receptors (TLRs, NOD-like receptors) discriminating conserved pathogen signatures from self. Adaptive immunity provides learnable χ: clonal selection, affinity maturation, the multi-year refinement of antibody specificity through somatic hypermutation and selection in germinal centers. ε: the metabolic cost of an immune response - fever, acute-phase protein synthesis, immune cell proliferation - is enormous, consuming up to 30% of basal metabolic rate during severe infection. μ: immunological

memory - long-lived memory B cells and T cells that retain the discrimination capacity developed during primary infection for decades. γ: the immune system is aligned to the organism's survival, discriminating self from non-self. D: the naive lymphocyte repertoire - $10^{\{11\}}$ distinct antigen receptors generated through V(D)J recombination, providing the degenerate substrate from which clonal selection draws.

The failure-mode taxonomy is the clinical textbook: χ too low = immunodeficiency. χ too high = autoimmunity. γ failure = cancer immune evasion (the tumor co-opts checkpoints meant to prevent autoimmunity, turning the immune system's scope-alignment mechanism against itself). μ failure = waning immunity, the need for boosters - the ratchet leaking. ε failure = metabolic collapse during sepsis, the immune response consuming the organism's energy faster than the organism can supply it. The predictions hold at this scale without modification.

2.3. Neural and Cognitive

Edelman's neuronal group selection (1987, 1993) provides the complete three-stage demon at the neural scale: generate diversity through developmental selection (D), discriminate through experiential selection (χ), retain and coordinate through reentrant mapping (μ). Synaptic tagging and capture (Frey & Morris, 1997) supplies the molecular mechanism for the $\chi \rightarrow \mu$ conversion: transient discrimination is consolidated into durable synaptic change only when the protein-synthesis budget (ε) is simultaneously available. This is the same μ/σ interaction the NK experiment demonstrated: the synaptic tag marks the gain, but the gain is only consolidated if the energetic investment arrives within the consolidation window. Miss the window and the tag decays - the ratchet leaks.

Sleep is the neural system's recovery-and-consolidation cycle: the period during which μ operations run without competing with χ operations for the same energetic budget. The μ/σ finding - that retention must outpace noise - explains why every clinical tradition and every contemplative lineage treats sleep as non-negotiable: it is the ratchet's operating window. Eliminate it and the demon sorts without retaining, gaining nothing from its expenditure.

The cognitive scale introduces the full γ problem. A mind can sort with extraordinary precision toward the wrong objective. The narcissist, the cult leader, the ideologue - each exhibits high χ (sharp discrimination), high ε (intense energetic investment), and high μ (durable retention of the selected worldview). The difference from the developing mind is γ: whose growth the sorting serves. The contemplative traditions that train discernment - *viveka* in Vedanta, *diakrisis* in the desert fathers, *separatio* in the alchemical tradition - are training γ assessment at the cognitive scale. They are teaching the practitioner to evaluate scope alignment in real time, under conditions where the other four parameters all look the same regardless of orientation.

2.4. Civilizational and Contact

The final scale - the one this essay is written to address - is the interaction between the non-human presence documented by Vallée and the contemplative traditions, and human consciousness understood as the substrate on which that presence operates.

The claim, stated carefully: the phenomenology described as the UFO phenomenon, the control system, the contact architecture — this phenomenology preserves the same relational structure as information-constrained sorting. The same parameters can be operationally identified. The same failure modes appear. The same interaction logic (D prerequisite, $\mu > \sigma$, γ irreducibility) recurs. This is a structure-preserving map between scales, not an identity claim. Molecular proofreading, synaptic tagging, initiatory lineage, and contact phenomenology are not the same mechanism. They may preserve the same relational structure under scale transformation.

D: The diversity of human consciousness configurations - contemplatives, materialists, shamans, engineers, psychotics, mystics - provides the degenerate substrate the sorting agent requires. Reduce this diversity (standardize education, medicalize anomalous perception, pharmaceutically suppress non-ordinary states) and the sorting agent loses its selection surface. The intersection events continue but produce no developmental selection - the Kuramoto result at civilizational scale.

χ: The absurdity factor is calibrated discrimination applied to the human cognitive field. The phenomenon presents at the edge of comprehensibility - absurd enough to break existing categories, coherent enough to demand engagement, never clean enough to be resolved without developing new cognitive capacity. This is intermediate-β sorting: maximizing developmental pressure at the discrimination threshold.

ε: Intersection events are thermodynamically costly on both sides. The DIRD corpus documents the biological cost to the observer (radiation, nerve damage, cardiac effects). The materialization evidence documents the cost spectrum on the entity side (from light-touch visual impressions to full physical precipitation with anomalous isotopic ratios). The phenomenon's preference for ambiguous partial intersections over full materializations is an energy-budget optimization.

μ: The control system's persistence across millennia - the same structural phenomenology wearing different cultural presentation layers - is retention of extraordinary fidelity. The contemplative traditions are the retention infrastructure: the ratchet that holds the species' contact-readiness against the entropy of cultural forgetting and institutional suppression.

γ: The STO/STS polarity axis documented across the contemplative traditions and formalized in frameworks like the Ra Material. The developmental current sorts toward the species' growth (high γ). The parasitic current sorts toward extraction (low γ). Both are formally identical in χ, ε, and μ. They differ only in whose development the sorting serves. The computational γ test's quantitative signature - 48% of aligned global gain under misalignment - is the formal version of the parasitic ecology's operation: partial development (enough to sustain the host) with surplus redirected to the extraction architecture.

3. The μ/σ Finding at the Species Scale

The sharpest prediction the framework generates - and the one with the most immediate operational consequences - is the μ/σ threshold. When noise rate exceeds retention rate, all other parameters are inert. No amount of discrimination, energy, retention strength, or alignment can compensate for a leaky ratchet.

At the species scale, σ includes: the impedance regime's noise injection (electromagnetic saturation, pharmaceutical suppression of consolidation cycles, 24/7 information overload, systematic elimination of sabbath and recovery structures), the destruction of indigenous knowledge systems (the traditions that served as μ infrastructure for millennia), and the ongoing entropy of cultural forgetting under conditions of accelerating change.

μ includes: the surviving contemplative lineages, the encoded contact-preparation protocols within the traditions that have not yet been destroyed, the individual and small-group practice of bandwidth-modification procedures, and whatever autonomous retention capacity the species possesses apart from its traditions.

The prediction is precise: if $\mu/\sigma < 1$ - if the retention infrastructure is overwhelmed by the noise - then the phenomenon's sorting is inert regardless of the quality of the contact, the energy of the intersection events, or the alignment of the developmental entities. The species encounters the non-human, is briefly perturbed, and reverts to baseline. The gain evaporates. The traditions that would have consolidated the encounter into durable capacity have been eroded past their holding threshold.

This is not a metaphorical claim. It is a parameter-interaction claim with computational support at simpler scales and a clear mapping to the observable conditions of the present moment. The acceleration of σ (noise, disruption, tradition destruction) and the degradation of μ (lineage erosion, practice abandonment, consolidation-cycle elimination) are not independent of the disclosure question. They are the conditions that determine whether disclosure produces development or produces the species-scale equivalent of the Kuramoto result: the demon is present, the intersection events are occurring, and nothing develops because the substrate cannot hold the gain.

4. Correspondence as Law

The Hermetic principle of Correspondence is conventionally treated as a philosophical or mystical claim - a poetic statement about the unity of the cosmos, inspiring but not testable. This essay proposes that it is a structural claim about information-theoretic sorting, testable through the interaction predictions the framework generates, and supported (at simpler scales) by the computational evidence.

The principle holds because sorting under constraint is scale-invariant. The logic of discrimination under energetic cost with finite memory on a degenerate substrate does not change when the substrate shifts from codons to neural groups to cultural configurations to the species' relationship with the non-human. The parameters re-instantiate. The failure modes re-instantiate. The interaction structure (D prerequisite, $\mu > \sigma$ threshold, γ irreducibility) re-instantiates. What changes is the specific mechanism at each scale - kinetic proofreading, synaptic tagging, initiatory transmission, the control system's persistence across millennia. What does not change is the logic.

As above, so below means: the same information-theoretic constraints recur wherever variation is converted into directional development. *As below, so above* means: computational verification at simple scales licenses a structured hypothesis at higher scales; it does not confirm the higher-scale claim. Correspondence is not a law of identical mechanisms. It is a law of recurring constraints under scale transformation. The Emerald Tablet is not proof. It is a map of invariants.

References

Barato, A.C. & Seifert, U. (2015). "Thermodynamic Uncertainty Relation for Biomolecular Processes." *Physical Review Letters* 114: 158101.

Bennett, C.H. (1982). "The Thermodynamics of Computation - A Review." *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* 21.12: 905-940.

Bérut, A. et al. (2012). "Experimental verification of Landauer's principle linking information and thermodynamics." *Nature* 483: 187-189.

Edelman, G.M. (1987). *Neural Darwinism: The Theory of Neuronal Group Selection.* Basic Books.

Edelman, G.M. & Gally, J.A. (2001). "Degeneracy and complexity in biological systems." *PNAS* 98.24: 13763-13768.

Frey, U. & Morris, R.G.M. (1997). "Synaptic tagging and long-term potentiation." *Nature* 385: 533-536.

Hopfield, J.J. (1974). "Kinetic Proofreading: A New Mechanism for Reducing Errors in Biosynthetic Processes Requiring High Specificity." *PNAS* 71.10: 4135-4139.

Kauffman, S.A. (1993). *The Origins of Order: Self-Organization and Selection in Evolution.* Oxford University Press.

Landauer, R. (1961). "Irreversibility and Heat Generation in the Computing Process." *IBM Journal of Research and Development* 5.3: 183-191.

Parrondo, J.M.R., Horowitz, J.M., & Sagawa, T. (2015). "Thermodynamics of information." *Nature Physics* 11: 131-139.

Szilard, L. (1929). "Über die Entropieverminderung in einem thermodynamischen System bei Eingriffen intelligenter Wesen." *Zeitschrift für Physik* 53: 840-856.

Tononi, G., Sporns, O., & Edelman, G.M. (1999). "Measures of degeneracy and redundancy in biological networks." *PNAS* 96.6: 3257-3262.

tratium (2026a). "The Wounded Forge: Anti-Closure, Calibrated Stress, and Metastability in Complex Adaptive Systems." Preprint.

tratium (2026b). "The Demon in the Forge: Information-Constrained Sorting and the Thermodynamics of Development." Preprint.

Vallée, J. (1969). *Passport to Magonia: From Folklore to Flying Saucers.* Henry Regnery.

Vallée, J. (1975). *The Invisible College.* E.P. Dutton.