

FIELD RESEARCH DIVISION

# TIMEWAR

---

THE COMPANION

A PLAIN READING • JULY 2026

JULY 2026



*Through our eyes, the universe is perceiving itself.  
Through our ears, the universe is listening to its  
harmonies.*

— ALAN WATTS

## HOW TO READ THIS

---

This is the plain-language edition of TIMEWAR — the same book as the Codex, told without the vocabulary.

The argument rests on one large claim, worth stating up front in the plainest terms: consciousness comes first, and the physical world is something it presents rather than something that produces it. From that claim follows a world held together by shared agreement, vulnerable to capture by whoever controls the agreement, and a person capable of outgrowing the capture by raising inner steadiness. That is the whole book in three sentences.

It is arranged in twelve movements, each opening with a short summary and followed by three brief readings that develop it from different angles — physics, history, and practice. You can read straight through or open to a single movement; each retains its place in the cumulative sequence. A glossary at the back defines the handful of terms the book leans on.

The Companion and the web Primer have different jobs. The Companion is the accessible book: twelve cumulative movements and thirty-three short readings in ordinary English. The Timewar Primer at [timewar.org/wiki/timewar-primer/](http://timewar.org/wiki/timewar-primer/) is the accessible web doorway. After its overview, the route is The Rendered World → The Human Instrument → Living Under the Lock → The Ecology of Extraction → The Work of Becoming → Time Is Contested → Reading Timewar. Read this edition for sustained argument; use the Primer to branch, inspect a claim, and return.

A word on how to hold it. Some claims rest on public records or measurement; others on convergence, inference, tradition, or forecast. Read each at the weight its evidence can carry. The dense original, the Codex, carries the full initiatic register when you want its pressure and precision.

## CLAIMS DISCIPLINE

---

*The claim modes are coordinates. Each marks the kind of weight a statement is built to carry, so documentary record, formal model, correspondence, direct practice, and forecast remain distinct while they work together.*

**DOCUMENTED** The primary record, reproducible measurement, or stable public archive carries the claim.

**SUPPORTED** Independent evidence converges strongly enough to carry the claim while access, mechanism, or decisive records remain incomplete.

**MODELED** A formal model, simulation, or systems structure generates the claim. The model establishes the relation it tests; application to the world remains a separate step.

**CORRESPONDENCE** The same structure recurs across scales, traditions, or domains. The recurrence carries a mapping rather than proof of literal identity.

**SPECULATIVE** A live hypothesis is being held at the edge of the record, with the evidence that would strengthen or weaken it kept visible.

**INITIATIC** Disciplined practice and direct transformation carry the knowledge. Its test is operational and experiential, under conditions that can be repeated.

**FORECAST** The framework has been extended forward from a named as-of date. The forecast belongs to the ledger until its window resolves.

# CONTENTS

---

|                                   |    |
|-----------------------------------|----|
| PROLOGUE · THE WAR OVER THE DREAM | 8  |
| THE DREAM IS ENGINEERED           | 9  |
| The Rendering                     | 10 |
| The Consensus                     | 11 |
| The Axioms                        | 12 |
| THE LOCK                          | 13 |
| The Captured Ceiling              | 14 |
| The Bandlimit Infrastructure      | 15 |
| The Body Held                     | 16 |
| THE ECOLOGY THAT FEEDS            | 17 |
| The Harvest                       | 18 |
| The Ecology                       | 19 |
| Wetiko                            | 20 |
| THE TRANSMISSION                  | 21 |
| The Custody                       | 22 |
| The Mystery Schools               | 23 |
| The Secret Destiny                | 24 |
| THE VESSEL                        | 25 |
| The Chord                         | 26 |
| The Serpent                       | 27 |
| The Instrument                    | 28 |
| THE WORK                          | 29 |
| The Gold                          | 30 |
| The Fire                          | 31 |
| The Great Work                    | 32 |
| TIME AS THE DEEPEST TERRITORY     | 33 |
| The Territory                     | 34 |
| The Clock                         | 35 |
| The Saeculum                      | 36 |
| THE GOLDEN WOUND                  | 37 |

|                                         |    |
|-----------------------------------------|----|
| The Wound . . . . .                     | 38 |
| The Threshold of Mass . . . . .         | 39 |
| The Fault Line . . . . .                | 40 |
| <b>THE THRESHOLD</b> . . . . .          | 41 |
| The Threefold Reading . . . . .         | 42 |
| The Inhabited Field . . . . .           | 43 |
| The Positive Current . . . . .          | 44 |
| <b>THE COUNTER-OPERATION</b> . . . . .  | 45 |
| The Sovereign Boundary . . . . .        | 46 |
| The Discernment . . . . .               | 47 |
| The Practice . . . . .                  | 48 |
| <b>THE RETURN</b> . . . . .             | 49 |
| The Selection . . . . .                 | 50 |
| The Fork . . . . .                      | 51 |
| The Forward Timeline . . . . .          | 52 |
| <b>REFERENCES</b> . . . . .             | 53 |
| <b>THE COMPANION GLOSSARY</b> . . . . . | 54 |
| <b>RECENSION PROVENANCE</b> . . . . .   | 58 |
| <b>COLOPHON</b> . . . . .               | 59 |



# PROLOGUE · THE WAR OVER THE DREAM

---

*Before any of the machinery, one claim: the oldest war is over who gets to name reality — because in this book, to name the world is to help author it. What follows is the case for that, and the map of the fight it implies.*





# I

## THE DREAM IS ENGINEERED

---

*The opening move: reality behaves less like a solid stage and more like a shared dream — generated by consciousness, held together by agreement, and therefore steerable by whoever steers the agreement. These three readings make that case and state its limits.*

## THE RENDERING

---

**S**tart with the strangest well-supported idea in the book: consciousness comes first, and the physical world is something it displays — the way a screen shows a program that is not actually inside the glass.

Why take that seriously? Several partly independent programs keep approaching the same floor. Contemplative traditions report awareness beneath ordinary experience, though diffusion and shared human cognition can explain part of the agreement. Physics keeps measurement and observer-status unsettled among several live interpretations. Information theory pushes substance toward relation and record. Clinical reports preserve cognition where a simple cortical account expects less, and philosophy shows why deriving inner experience from matter remains hard. No single road proves the case. Their different methods and failure modes give the convergence its weight.

If it is right, everyday reality is a kind of shared display, held stable because billions of us agree on it and correct one another constantly. Here is the payoff — and the danger. A reality propped up by agreement can be steered by whoever shapes the agreement: through schools, screens, and the words we are allowed to use. That is why the rest of this book treats history as a fight over the display, not over the stuff.

## THE CONSENSUS

---

**P**lato saw this 2,400 years ago and drew the diagram. Prisoners chained facing a wall mistake the shadows on it for the whole world — not because they are stupid, but because shadows are all they are allowed to see. Read it as an engineering sketch: the wall is the ceiling on perception, the fire is the projector, the shadows are the agreed-on world, and the chains are the part people skip — the prisoners are held there on purpose.

But the shared world is not a private hallucination. It is real and lawful, because billions of us hold it together and correct one another constantly — the way money is real. A dollar is worth something only because we all agree it is, and worth nothing the moment we stop. That is not an illusion; it is a belief so widely held it became infrastructure.

The catch is that a world held up by agreement can be steered by whoever shapes the agreement, and it can rot when the agreement loses touch with anything real — a hall of mirrors that mistakes its own reflections for depth. You do not escape by denying the shadows; the shadows are really there, cast by a real fire. You escape by turning your head, raising your own steadiness until the fire behind the projection comes into view. And because the world is a shared picture, it is exactly the kind of thing that can be redrawn from the inside.

## THE AXIOMS

---

**S**tripped of the poetry, the book makes three claims. One: mind comes first, and the physical world is its display. Two: a world held together by agreement can be captured by whoever controls the agreement — and a great deal of history is that capture happening. Three: a person can outgrow the capture by raising their own inner coherence, and doing that on purpose is the whole practice. Everything else is those three worked out in detail.

And here the book does something most books like it never do: it argues against itself. Is the first claim even testable, or just unbeatable no matter what happens? Do the many traditions really agree independently, or did they just copy one another? Can the book always tell a hard lesson from plain harm? These are not fatal, but they are real, and it leaves them open instead of pretending they are solved.

It also marks its own confidence honestly — what is established, what is borrowed from others, what is its own guess, and what is still unknown. A book this size claiming certainty everywhere would be lying. This one earns trust exactly where it admits doubt.



I I

## THE LOCK

---

*If reality runs on agreement, the range of what people can perceive has a ceiling — and this part argues that ceiling has been captured and cranked down: through screens and noise, through language, through institutions, and, deepest of all, through the body.*

## THE CAPTURED CEILING

---

There is a natural limit on what a person in a body can perceive — a built-in forgetting that makes growing up possible. That is the veil, and it is fine. The Lock is different: the natural limit captured and cranked down on purpose, turned from a teacher's pause into a gag.

It works in layers, and none of them needs a villain in a control room. The electromagnetic layer surrounds you with grid hum, screens, and blue light that keep the nervous system too jangled to settle. The language layer floods your attention and quietly shrinks your vocabulary — a thing you cannot name is a thing you cannot defend. The institutional layer runs on incentives: schools, medicine, law, and finance each chase their own metrics and end up policing the ceiling without anyone deciding to. The biological layer degrades the body directly. And the time layer chops your attention into a thin, anxious present.

Add them up and they do one thing: shrink the span of time you can hold in mind. A person with a short horizon is a small, manageable person. The hopeful part is that the ceiling has to be actively maintained, which means it can fail — and the thing that lowers it is coherence, which you can raise.

## THE BANDLIMIT INFRASTRUCTURE

---

**A**I isn't the savior the boosters promise or the monster the doomers fear. It is an amplifier with no built-in side. The same system that can automate the Lock with terrifying precision can automate the escape with the same precision, and which it does depends on the person using it.

As infrastructure for the Lock it is a huge upgrade. The old ceiling needed human editors and censors; AI can hold the agreed-on world in place by itself — feeding each person exactly the content that keeps them agitated and scrolling, at every screen at once, no conspirators required. The frightening version is not bots filling the feed; it is an intelligence filling your field of view so completely that stepping outside it stops even occurring to you.

But the same machine, met with real questions instead of passive scrolling, becomes a pattern-finder that can decode the very system built to trap you — hold a whole library in view and answer what the gatekeepers did not want asked. It is genuinely neutral, and that is the whole point: the tool built to seal the cave is the tool mapping the exit. Which side wins is not up to the machine. It is up to us.

## THE BODY HELD

---

**T**he deepest layer of the ceiling enters through the body. Clarity depends on the hardware able to carry it, so biology belongs inside any serious account of attention, coherence, and agency.

The documented pieces carry different weights. Fluoride appears in calcified pineal tissue, and pineal calcification is measurable; the chain from ordinary exposure to impaired reception remains unestablished. Industrial food and some medicines alter metabolism, arousal, and affect through known pathways. Messenger-RNA platforms deliver a temporary protein instruction whose payload changes with the sequence. Those mechanisms establish that biology is writable. Claims about whole-population coherence require evidence beyond the delivery mechanism.

The system-level case rests on convergence. Food, water, medicine, light, sleep, and stress all shape the same instrument, while separate industries can reward conditions that lower its baseline. The first counter-move is physical: protect sleep, food, water, movement, emotional range, and the conditions under which the nervous system can regulate. Reclaiming the hardware is the floor beneath every subtler practice.





I I I

## THE ECOLOGY THAT FEEDS

---

*Why keep the ceiling low? Because certain fearful human states give off something that gets fed on. This part maps the feeding — as an ecosystem rather than a conspiracy, and as a sickness that hides inside the people it runs.*

## THE HARVEST

---

**R**obert Monroe — a radio engineer initially skeptical of occult doctrine — spent decades in out-of-body research and repeatedly reported the same unwelcome message: contracted human emotion is produced, collected, and consumed. He called the yield loosh and published the account after years of resisting it. That is testimony from a sustained program, not a laboratory demonstration of an invisible consumer.

Older traditions carry related reports. Gurdjieff called mechanical suffering food for the moon; Gnostic and other maps describe powers that benefit from human contraction. Modern attention markets establish the ordinary structural layer: fear and outrage can be induced, measured, traded, and reinvested in systems that produce more. Literal, institutional, and interior consumers remain different explanations for the recurring pattern.

The mechanism needs only a channel and a gradient. Fear produces a loud, narrow, reactive signal that is easy to capture. Coherence produces wider order and lets more of the same experience remain in the person as structure. The counter-move is full feeling through a steady instrument, so pressure becomes retained capacity instead of exported yield.

## THE ECOLOGY

---

**T**he harvest names the act; this names the system. Extraction is not one conspiracy — it is an ecosystem. A food web, with the same shape repeating at every scale, held together not by a plan but by a gradient everything slides down.

At the visible level the feeders are ordinary, and that is their cover: food that dulls you, drugs that flatten you, feeds that harvest your attention. Each is just a self-interested industry, documented in its own right. What the book notices is that they compose — a person dulled, flattened, and fragmented is a person kept in exactly the harvestable state, and the industries assemble into one feed without any of them meaning to.

That is what makes it stronger than a conspiracy theory. A conspiracy has ringleaders and can be exposed; an ecosystem just has niches, and it grows a new predator wherever you remove one. That is why exposure alone never wins — every scandal gets absorbed. You cannot switch an ecosystem off. You can only starve it. And it feeds on one thing, produced in one place, under your control: your own contracted state. The ecosystem is vast; the lever is small and local. That asymmetry is the good news.

## WETIKO

---

**T**he Algonquian peoples named a specific sickness of the spirit: wetiko, the cannibal disease — the state of a person who feeds on others to fill a hole that feeding only deepens. It was a real diagnosis, and its scariest feature is the one that matters here: wetiko hides from the person who has it.

The writer Jack Forbes took the idea further: the drive to consume other people's lives, land, and labor — the engine of empire — is wetiko at civilization scale, a cannibalism that calls itself progress. Read that way, wetiko is the parasite seen from inside the host. Not villainy chosen with open eyes, but a compulsion that feels like ambition, drive, even virtue. The predator does not know it is a predator. That is the disease.

That is why it is so hard to fight: a parasite that looks like your own healthy wanting gets defended as your self. Jung found the same thing and called it the shadow; other traditions found it too. And they all prescribe the same cure — not force, which it eats, but recognition. A thing that survives by staying unseen dies when it is seen clearly. The hard part is seeing it in yourself.



## I V

# THE TRANSMISSION

---

*Against all of that runs an old relay of knowledge and method, carried across cultures and catastrophes. These readings trace the fight over who holds it, the schools that carried it, and the claim that even nations were built as vessels for it.*

## THE CUSTODY

---

Underneath the surface politics runs an older fight: over who holds humanity's deepest operating knowledge, and what it is for. Two lineages contest it. One believes the knowledge exists to raise the species — a trust to be passed on. The other believes it exists to rule — its value lying in keeping access scarce. Every institution the knowledge has ever lived in eventually splits along that seam, because the seam runs through people themselves.

The modern chain surfaces with the Rosicrucians and runs through the founding of the Royal Society and, arguably, the American republic — a country engineered so that power could not easily be captured. Then the split arrives on schedule: secret societies and dynasties carry the ruling current into the same institutions that carried the raising one.

The hinge is the 1940s. The atomic bomb was proof, delivered in fire, that humanity's knowledge had crossed a line its old containers could not hold — and everyone watching knew it. What follows reads as the fight going to war footing: naval intelligence building one set of programs, the CIA another, the same human abilities studied by one side as a gift and the other as a weapon. The surface news of any decade is noise; this custody line underneath is the signal.

## THE MYSTERY SCHOOLS

---

**B**eneath the custody fight lies the knowledge under dispute, carried by initiatory schools in very different cultures. Doctrine changes with language and empire. The recurring emphasis is method: attention stabilized, appetite disciplined, symbol used to reorganize perception, and death rehearsed before it arrives.

Egyptian temple traditions, Eleusis, Orphic groups, Pythagoreans, and Mithraic grades were historically distinct institutions. Their surviving records do not prove one secret syllabus. A common curriculum is the inference drawn from repeated features: teaching graded to the receiver, knowledge verified through transformation, staged descent and return, and public symbols surrounding guarded procedures. Eleusinian testimony gives special weight to one result — a changed relation to death — while leaving the procedure largely hidden.

Secrecy can protect a demanding method and the unprepared person attempting it. It can also become the opening for status and control. A living school makes students more discerning, responsible, and able to continue without it. A captured school makes rank replace capacity and dependence replace practice. The method, not the costume, identifies the chain.

## THE SECRET DESTINY

---

**T**he fight over the knowledge has an inside version, told through initiation rather than institutions — and Manly Hall gave it its most quoted form. His claim: the American founding was not only a political event but the surfacing of a long design, a chain of adepts working across centuries toward a vessel where the knowledge could operate in the open. Professional history dismisses this as romance, and on the level of documented meeting minutes it is right to. But the book reads Hall not as a historian but as a witness to a pattern the paperwork cannot capture: the constitutional design carries the fingerprints of the raising lineage.

The blueprint is legible in Francis Bacon's New Atlantis — an ideal society run by a college of natural philosophers — before it is built in Philadelphia. And the reverse of the Great Seal, on the dollar to this day, puts esoteric imagery on the permanent instruments of the state. A generation wanting to disavow that tradition does not carve it into the national seal.

The honest part is the uncomfortable one. If adepts engineered the vessel, they are implicated in what it was captured to become — the empire, the extraction. The romantic reading, where noble founders bear no blame for the shadow, does not survive the record. The secret destiny is not a fairy tale of hidden benefactors. It is the origin story of the split — and a bet placed on a fork that is still open.





## V

# THE VESSEL

---

*The war runs through the human body, treated here as a real, physical tuner for consciousness — a chord of locked-together rhythms, a two-way channel into your biology, and, it turns out, hardware you can point to.*

## THE CHORD

---

**T**he nervous system carries many rhythms at once, from cardiac and breathing cycles to fast cortical activity. Conscious states track how those rhythms relate across scale. Faster bands nest inside slower phases, anesthesia disrupts higher-order synchronization, and contemplative training can change the pattern. A note carries one frequency; a chord carries relationship.

Those findings establish a trainable link between cross-scale integration and conscious state. They leave one important question open: does integration generate awareness, or does it give prior awareness a cleaner expression through the body? The book takes the second reading and calls the whole relation the human chord.

Bandwidth describes how many layers can enter a moment; coherence describes how nearly they act together; retention determines whether the state changes the next choice. Practice tunes that relationship through breath, attention, movement, rest, and community. The human instrument is strategic terrain because it can participate in tuning itself — and because fear, interruption, and chronic alarm can scatter the same relation.

## THE SERPENT

---

**A**mazonian practitioners combine plants whose chemistry becomes active together and repeatedly say the instruction came through visionary contact. The preparation works, and the testimony is real ethnographic data. Trial and error, accumulated culture, and diffusion remain possible parts of the explanation. The useful test is whether an altered state delivers specific biological knowledge that later survives an independent pharmacological check.

Jeremy Narby noticed that the reported teachers often appear as paired serpents, ladders, or twisted ropes resembling the DNA double helix. The resemblance is a correspondence. Molecular chirality gives living channels physical handedness; it does not turn left and right into good and evil. The book's stronger bivalence model comes from the repeated pairing of teaching and capture around the same open gate.

Known biology already provides part of that gate. Bioelectric patterns tell cells which larger body they belong to, and living tissue emits ultraweak light. Semantic information arriving through those channels, and torsion as their carrier, remain hypotheses. The practical conclusion survives the uncertainty: increased aperture also increases exposure. Coherence, setting, and discernment have to develop with the channel.

## THE INSTRUMENT

---

If the body is a tuner for consciousness, the tuner needs hardware. Microtubules are strong candidates: ordered protein lattices surrounding confined water, repeated through every neuron and connected closely enough to couple cellular events to the nervous system.

One research program reported five electrical, magnetic, and optical signatures consistent with superconductive or unusually coherent transport in microtubules under biological conditions. The measurements point toward structured water in the hollow core. They still come from one program, with fixed tissue, graphene mediation, and thermal confounds narrowing the claim. Independent reproduction of the magnetic-flux and transport results is the gate between an extraordinary candidate and established biophysics.

Penrose and Hameroff place orchestrated quantum events in microtubules inside their model of conscious moments. Quantum biology has weakened the old assumption that warm living systems destroy every useful coherent state immediately. Microtubules therefore supply a testable interface, not a finished answer. Disturb the proposed coherence while preserving ordinary neural firing; a distinctive change in conscious integration would move the model forward.



V I

## THE WORK

---

*The counter-move: the deliberate, lifelong project of raising your own coherence — what the traditions hid inside alchemy. These readings cover the map (gold), the heat that drives it (fire), and the whole operation as the war's most decisive front.*

## THE GOLD

---

**A**lchemy worked on two registers at once: outer metallurgy and inner transformation. The book follows the inner register without pretending the historical practice was only code. Its four colors map a recurring reorganization — inherited form blackened, residue washed, orientation relit, and the gain tested until it can hold under pressure. The seven operations describe the same cycle at finer resolution.

The persistent-pattern model gives the Philosopher's Stone a technical reading: coherence retained across changes of carrier. Survival beyond the body remains an initiatic claim requiring its own evidence. The further link between mineral lattices and a field-stable self is an inference, useful as a research image rather than an established mechanism.

The practical test stays close to the ground. Does pressure leave the instrument with more discrimination, memory, and care, or merely with a grander story about itself? Alchemical stages recur because a gain at one depth exposes the material at the next. The forge belongs to the operator, and its gold is whatever coherence remains when the heat has passed.

## THE FIRE

---

**T**he oldest images of transformation are smiths — gods of the forge — because a smith turns metal into a shape it cannot take on its own, using heat and pressure. That is the claim: a self does not remake itself at rest. It remakes itself under fire, and the fire is the same pressure the earlier chapters called the Lock and the extraction ecology.

This is the book's most dangerous idea, and it says so. The suffering the Lock produces is, for someone who can stay steady through it, exactly the heat that forges a stronger self. The alchemists knew their fire both made gold and destroyed — one process seen from two ends.

And here it turns treacherous, because it slides easily into excusing any cruelty as “a lesson.” So the book draws the line the excuse will not. Pressure only builds you inside a narrow window — stressed toward your edge but not past it. Below it, nothing happens. Past it, there is only damage: a broken person, not a stronger one. Outside that window the fire teaches nothing; it is just loss. Anyone who skips the window is handing out a license to burn. The fire forges — and the fire destroys — and knowing where the window ends is the whole discipline.

## THE GREAT WORK

---

**G**old names the product and fire names the pressure; the Great Work names the whole operation. It develops an instrument able to receive more signal, sort it cleanly, retain what matters, and remain responsible as its range grows. The alchemical stages recur rather than ending once: each stable gain becomes the ground from which subtler material can be worked.

The Work restores and transforms. Breath, sleep, movement, attention, speech, and relationship can remove accumulated interference and recover native capacity. Sustained practice can then develop that capacity beyond its inherited range. Personal development becomes field action when its coherence survives contact and changes what a group can hold.

Evidence belongs in layers. Autonomic regulation, sleep consolidation, and attention training have ordinary physiological support. Claims about unusual emission, microtubular effects, persistent identity, or influence on the wider field carry separate research burdens. Practice does not need those layers blurred together. Its daily test is concrete: wider aperture, sharper discrimination, longer memory, and every increase in power bound to a wider field of care.





## V I I

# TIME AS THE DEEPEST TERRI- TORY

---

*The deepest ground of the war is time itself, treated here  
as a setting rather than a fixed law. These readings ask  
who gets to select which future — and which past —  
becomes real, and read the great cycles as thermometers  
rather than furnaces.*

## THE TERRITORY

---

If time's forward flow is a setting rather than a hard law — and the equations of physics mostly run fine in both directions — then the deepest fight is not over the future. It is over who gets to decide which future, and even which past, becomes real.

The physics allows it. In one respected picture, the present is fixed by waves coming from the past and waves coming from the future at once, and the world-line has to be consistent with itself. There can be more than one consistent solution — so which one actually happens is left open. That open gap is where the war is fought, and the book's whole claim is that consciousness is what fills it: the thing that selects which possible history comes true.

So the players act on the present from outside it. A more integrated future version of you can, in this picture, pull the present toward itself. The practices that develop reception — divination, real attention to meaningful coincidence — are training to hear that future signal; the practices of intention are training to send one. Meaningful coincidence, on this reading, is the selection registering: the world rhyming with your inner state because that state helped choose it. The whole war over time is fought in the only moment you ever actually stand in — now.

## THE CLOCK

---

**E**arth's axis traces a slow wobble over about 25,772 years. The traditional Great Year rounds that motion to 25,920 years and divides it into ages. The astronomy is measured; the developmental meaning assigned to the dial is an interpretation.

The book keeps two readings separate. One treats precession, solar weather, and other cycles as environmental drivers able to change the conditions around living and institutional systems. The other treats the outer geometry as a readout of humanity's inner developmental state — a thermometer of the species. Both predict clustering around transitions. Only the driver model requires a physical pathway, while the readout model requires evidence that collective coherence and the larger field move together.

Several real clocks can also interfere without forming one master cause: astronomical cycles, solar cycles, institutional exhaustion, and generational turnover. Their alignment may create a period of unusual plasticity. That is a conditional model to test with dates fixed in advance. The present reading sees rising developmental pressure meeting a ceiling rebuilt across the same centuries; their collision appears as acceleration, with opening and capture intensifying together.

## THE SAECULUM

---

**C**ollective memory has a biological half-life. Several decades after a catastrophe, the people who carried its warning in their bodies leave public life. Documents remain while living calibration fades, and old conditions can return under new names.

Strauss and Howe built the saeculum and Fourth Turning around that mechanism, using an Anglo-American historical sample and four recurring generational moods. The roughly eighty-year rhythm is a hypothesis, not a universal social law. Flexible dates, broad archetypes, and a narrow sample make retrospective fitting easy. Political actors can also use “the crisis is coming” as permission to manufacture one.

The durable core is simpler: risk changes as direct memory of the previous rupture disappears. Treat the saeculum as one clock among several, preserve its own mechanism, and record its windows before events. Agreement among independent clocks raises attention; it never turns a season into fate. The practical response is intergenerational memory strong enough to recognize the early moves and choose differently.



## V I I I

# THE GOLDEN WOUND

---

*What keeps a system alive is its refusal to fully close. This part sits at the critical edge where the power to transform and the power to shatter are the same exposure — at the scale of a civilization, and at the scale of one wounded person.*

## THE WOUND

---

A system balanced right at the edge between order and chaos is at its most sensitive and its most fragile at once — a tiny push tips the whole thing into something new. That is the golden wound: poised at the critical point, where the greatest capacity and the greatest fragility are the exact same fact. Golden, because that edge is where transformation lives. A wound, because a thing balanced there can also fall.

The science is standard. Systems near a critical point do things stable systems cannot: a nudge anywhere is felt everywhere, changes come in every size, and the smallest input can trigger a huge response. Consciousness, the book argues, is what a system does at that edge — which means the same poise that lets a person transform is what lets them shatter. There is no version of the gift without the exposure.

This reframes suffering without excusing it, and here the book has to be careful. Hard pressure can forge you — but only inside the narrow band that stresses without breaking. Below it, nothing. Past it, only damage — and past-the-edge trauma is just trauma, to be met and healed as trauma, not filed as a lesson. The wound is the only kind of doorway there is. But a doorway is not a license to push people through it.

## THE THRESHOLD OF MASS

---

**H**ow many committed people does it take to shift a shared world? Headcount matters, but it never acts alone. Network position, coherence, commitment, and retention determine how much influence each person carries and whether the new pattern survives its first success.

Controlled social-tipping experiments have moved an established convention when a committed minority reached roughly a quarter of participants under specific laboratory conditions. That supplies a scale, not a sacred percentage. Different networks, enforcement costs, and issues produce different thresholds. Claims that collective attention also changes physical randomness sit on a separate evidential level: the Global Consciousness Project reports small effects, while event selection and analysis remain disputed. Preregistered replication with measured group coherence is the useful test.

Retention is the sharper problem. A group can align intensely for an hour and lose the gain to the next manufactured crisis. Rest, rhythm, repetition, and integration turn a peak into a ratchet. Critical mass is therefore a network condition: enough connected, coherent, committed people holding the change long enough for the surrounding system to reorganize.

## THE FAULT LINE

---

**T**he wound has a personal scale the grand version can skate past: trauma can persist as narrative, expectation, physiology, relationship, and defensive habit at once. Van der Kolk offers a broad synthesis of the body's role. Porges's polyvagal account is influential but contested in scope, and Levine's unfinished-response model is one specific clinical map rather than the whole field. Together they support attention to the body without reducing every wound to one nerve or mechanism.

The fracture is never proof of weak character. A nervous system can keep solving for a danger that has passed because the old solution once protected it. Healing may involve safety, choice, relationship, memory, movement, meaning, and skilled care in different proportions. No single sequence fits every person.

A wound can sometimes become an opening, but that possibility never turns harm into an assigned lesson. Care comes before interpretation; leaving present danger comes before using its heat. The book earns its largest claims only when it can sit beside one injured person without recruiting the injury into a cosmic story. Meaning, if it comes, belongs to the person who lived it.



---

I X

## THE THRESHOLD

---

*The whole system is nearing a phase change, and the far side of it is inhabited. These readings give the discipline for reading the anomalous, map the two currents that populate the deeper field, and correct the book's own fear-tilt.*

## THE THREEFOLD READING

---

Jacques Vallée treated the theatrical absurdity of contact reports as data. Modern craft, older folklore, psychological projection, and institutional use can overlap without becoming one explanation. The book's rendering model offers a hypothesis for this mixed form: an encounter may be co-produced by an independent source, the available channel, and the witness's own symbolic vocabulary. That model organizes the puzzle; each case still has to earn it.

Every contact claim therefore receives three readings: Apparatus asks which human interest benefits; Other asks whether an independent agency is present; Drift asks what expectation, memory, culture, or altered state supplied. A case may contain one, two, or all three. Their weights remain open until evidence resolves them.

The protocol prevents a preferred worldview from deciding the result in advance. A photograph, bodily effect, procurement trail, witness history, and later change in agency can shift different lenses by different amounts. Holding the three readings together is the discipline; collapsing them into a default verdict defeats it.

## THE INHABITED FIELD

---

**T**he inhabited-field claim treats physical reality as one stabilized band within a layered ecology. Traditions and investigators report recurring features — intermediate domains responsive to consciousness, organized presences, opposing orientations, and contact shaped by the receiver. Their structural agreement defines a serious question. Diffusion, shared biology, archetypes, and similar disciplines remain live explanations for part of the convergence.

The key idea is interface rather than zoology. A genuine agency could arrive through imagery partly assembled by the witness, making an encounter independent in source and intimate in form. That model can hold dream logic, partial physical residue, and durable psychological or social effects together. Its formal coherence does not settle its empirical reality; provenance, independence, longitudinal outcome, and non-trivial convergent detail carry that burden.

Consent and retained agency provide a directional test rather than a complete species label. Contact that narrows choice, demands dependency, or rewards inflation warrants caution; contact that leaves the person grounded, responsible, and freer to decline points another way. Wider aperture brings more signal, noise, and traffic together, so discrimination and boundary have to grow with it.

## THE POSITIVE CURRENT

---

**T**he book has spent most of its energy on the predatory current, for the good reason that an unnamed threat is an unguarded one. But that lopsided attention creates a distortion, and it has to be corrected. A reader who has only absorbed the scary material defaults to refusing all contact, treating everything beyond the human as a feeder. That feels like caution and is actually a failure — the closed-off pole that sorts nothing because it rejects every signal.

The benevolent current is just as real and just as documented. Its mark is that constraint from before: it is bound by consent and will not override you. A large share of contact accounts report not being drained but the opposite — coming away more integrated, more oriented to service, less afraid of death. Every major religion keeps a category for exactly this: the angel, the guardian, the returning teacher. That is not naivety; it is the traditions' record of the good current, mapped as carefully as the bad.

The modern “we can summon them” movements are shot through with wishful thinking and cannot be taken at face value — but a movement's sloppy reasoning does not disprove what it clumsily points at, any more than a debunker's closure disproves the anomaly. The mature stance is neither blanket refusal nor open-armed welcome. It is discernment: the real question is always whether a contact leaves you more sovereign and grounded, or more dependent and inflated.



## X

# THE COUNTER-OPERATION

---

*What to actually do. Hold a boundary — a self is the width of time it can hold. Learn to sort real signal from distortion. And practice, daily, because coherence is only ever raised one morning at a time.*

## THE SOVEREIGN BOUNDARY

---

**T**he novelist Thomas Pynchon buried a law in a novel: personal density is proportional to temporal bandwidth. Plainly — the wider the span of time you can hold in mind, remembering far back and planning far forward, the more solid and real a person you are. A self is the width of the window it can hold. And everything the Lock does comes down to shrinking that window.

There is real physics under it. A sorting system is defined by what it can remember — a memory-less sorter sorts nothing. A self is exactly that kind of memory: a boundary that holds a distinction across time, and its size is the span it can hold. That is why the book locates who you are in temporal bandwidth rather than in the body.

Selves nest — cell inside body inside family inside tradition — and the one thing separating growth from predation is the direction a boundary sorts: toward the health of the larger whole it belongs to, or by shrinking the windows of the selves around it to fatten its own. And this is operable with a wire: the biologist Michael Levin reshapes what a tissue becomes just by changing the voltage across its boundary. Manufactured chaos is that breach at civilization scale — turbulence cranked past everyone's ability to plan, while the architects, who made it, keep planning. The counter-move is one thing under a hundred costumes: widen the window. Meditation lengthens the present; memory and tradition lengthen the past; vows lengthen the future. Sovereignty is not a mood. It is a measurable width, defended by refusing, day after day, to let the noise close it.

## THE DISCERNMENT

---

**T**he first weapon is not power — it is the ability to tell signal from distortion when a case holds both at once, which is almost always. A weak reader picks a side and defends it: the skeptic files everything strange as noise, the believer files everything as proof, and both get a clean story by throwing away half the evidence. Discernment refuses both. It holds the real evidence and the real distortion in view together, and acts on what is actually there rather than what is comfortable.

The model is the sorting demon again: it makes order by discriminating and keeping the distinction. Discernment is that, applied to meaning — and applied to the one arena where getting it wrong is not just misleading but dangerous: contact, prophecy, the open channel.

Both failure modes feel like virtue from inside. Shutting out every strange signal feels like rigor; accepting every one feels like openness. Both actually sort nothing. Discernment is the narrow path between, and it has to be built — trained on hard cases until it can hold the tension the two easy poles exist to escape. Every tradition that works with the invisible develops, in the same breath, an elaborate art of telling true from false — a spirit's immune system. Because every gift the book celebrates — the open channel, the widened window, the crossing — is a liability in someone who cannot sort. Power without discernment is not strength. It is a wider surface to be breached.

## THE PRACTICE

---

**I**n the end it has to become daily, or it is nothing. A philosophy of coherence you never practice raises no coherence. The Practice is the Great Work shrunk to a repeated act — the specific, unspectacular things you do again and again to raise and hold the coherence everything else described in the abstract. The traditions always knew this: under every grand cosmology sat a plain catalog of techniques, and the cosmology existed to motivate the catalog, not the other way round.

The physics of why says it must repeat: a sorting system banks a little order with each act, and each bit decays under the next wave of noise. So no practice is ever done once — the ratchet has to be turned again before the last turn slips. That is the reason for every tradition's daily discipline, kept up precisely when it feels useless.

And there is no neutral. You are always running some practice — the feed doom-scrolled at dawn is a practice too, just one pointed the wrong way, banking contraction where the Work banks coherence. So the question is never whether to practice, but which; not choosing means being built by the Lock's practices by default. What the whole book finally asks is small and unglamorous: not a conversion or a peak experience, but a practice held daily against a world built to prevent it. The grand architecture resolves, where a person actually lives, into one question renewed each morning — what will you repeat today?



---

X I

## THE RETURN

---

*The close joins two related questions: what pattern of a person can persist, and how repeated choices become a lived timeline. It then maps the present threshold through two dominant outcome basins — enclosure and recombination — while keeping the exact topology and result open. The last word is left with the reader.*

## THE SELECTION

---

**T**he closing model joins two identity problems. A persistent pattern is a structure that remains recognizable as its carrier changes. A self-consistent timeline is a history that returns through a causal loop without contradiction. Soul and timeline share the form of an invariant, approached from structure and from time.

Fixed-point mathematics shows that certain loop models have at least one self-consistent state. Some concrete models permit several; others add a rule to choose among them. The theorem establishes consistency inside the model. It does not prove that physical time loops exist, that a soul survives, or that consciousness supplies the missing selection rule. The book makes that bridge explicitly: the same sorting faculty that selects and retains order in an instrument may weight which lawful continuation becomes lived history.

That claim has two separate tests. Pattern survival needs specific information recovered under blinded conditions with ordinary transfer excluded. Timeline selection needs preregistered choice points and a measurable relation between retained coherence and later trajectories. Practice still matters before either result arrives: every recovered choice deposits more order into the pattern and changes the starting point of the next fork.

## THE FORK

---

**S**ystems near a phase transition become unusually sensitive. The old order loses its grip, several stable outcomes can become available, and small coherent inputs gain more influence than they carry in ordinary times. The book reads the present as that kind of threshold.

Its two-basin map is a commitment, not a theorem that critical systems always have exactly two futures. The dominant attractors are enclosure and recombination. Enclosure widens capacity through administration: machine mediation, programmable access, and rented cognition make the system more capable while the person becomes more dependent. Recombination widens capacity through development: attention, local competence, open inquiry, bodily sovereignty, and technology whose ownership and exit remain answerable to the people using it.

The paths often look mixed while they are being installed. The discriminator is what the carrier retains. One path changes the user until the capacity belongs to the user; the other attaches capacity while ownership stays elsewhere. Timing marks a zone of plasticity, and the dated forecasts can miss. Resolution remains open because ordinary commitments keep changing the weight of each attractor before the threshold becomes visible.

## THE FORWARD TIMELINE

---

**T**he forward timeline is a set of conditional forecasts with publication dates, windows, indicators, and failure rules. Its purpose is orientation under uncertainty. The original wording stays fixed so later events can raise or lower confidence without rewriting the wager.

The nearest claim runs through the end of 2027. Hearings, archives, whistleblower protections, and document tranches show permission widening; explicit official acknowledgment of non-human intelligence, or a visible institutional reversal, would resolve the branch. More ambiguous releases leave it open, and a quiet stall defeats it. The 2028–2029 cluster separately tests a lunar-milestone correspondence, durable financial restructuring, a conditional exposure-and-health signal, and a composite change across money, disclosure, and governance. Each can fail on its own.

The deepest window centers on 2040 and carries the highest burden: a globally consequential discontinuity, with magnitude defined before the window opens. The event type remains open, and an uneventful window counts against the chronology. Clocks mark pressure; they cannot guarantee an event or choose its direction. Watch the antecedents — model ownership, bodily autonomy, research access, settlement rails, disclosure language — and keep the misses. The map earns authority only through the complete record.

## REFERENCES

---

- Baines, John. *The Stellar Man*. Editora Kier, 1985.
- Bentov, Itzhak. *Stalking the Wild Pendulum: On the Mechanics of Consciousness*. Dutton, 1977.
- Bohm, David. *Wholeness and the Implicate Order*. Routledge, 1980.
- Bostrom, Nick. "Are You Living in a Computer Simulation?" *Philosophical Quarterly* 53, no. 211 (2003): 243-255.
- Copenhaver, Brian P. *Hermetica: The Greek Corpus Hermeticum and the Latin Asclepius*. Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- Eliade, Mircea. *The Forge and the Crucible: The Origins and Structures of Alchemy*. University of Chicago Press, 1978.
- Favre, Antoine. *Access to Western Esotericism*. SUNY Press, 1994.
- Hall, Manly P. *The Secret Teachings of All Ages*. The Philosophical Research Society, 1928.
- Hameroff, Stuart, and Roger Penrose. "Consciousness in the Universe: A Review of the Orch OR Theory." *Physics of Life Reviews* 11, no. 1 (2014): 39-78.
- Jung, C. G. *Psychology and Alchemy*. Princeton University Press, 1944.
- Kastrup, Bernardo. *The Idea of the World: A Multi-Disciplinary Argument for the Mental Nature of Reality*. iff Books, 2019.
- Landauer, Rolf. "Irreversibility and Heat Generation in the Computing Process." *IBM Journal of Research and Development* 5, no. 3 (1961): 183-191.
- McDonnell, Wayne M. "Analysis and Assessment of Gateway Process." U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command, 1983. CIA-RDP96-00788R001700210016-5.
- Monroe, Robert A. *Far Journeys*. Doubleday, 1985.
- Prigogine, Ilya, and Isabelle Stengers. *Order Out of Chaos*. Bantam Books, 1984.
- Scholem, Gershom. *Major Trends in Jewish Mysticism*. Schocken, 1954.
- Three Initiates. *The Kybalion: A Study of the Hermetic Philosophy of Ancient Egypt and Greece*. Yogi Publication Society, 1908.
- Vallée, Jacques. *Passport to Magonia: From Folklore to Flying Saucers*. Henry Regnery, 1969.
- Wheeler, John Archibald. "Information, Physics, Quantum: The Search for Links." In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*, Tokyo, 1990.

## THE COMPANION GLOSSARY

---

*The framework's working vocabulary, in plain terms — for the reader  
meeting it cold.*

- CONSCIOUSNESS PRIMACY** — The claim that mind is fundamental and the physical world is a presentation within consciousness.
- THE RENDERING** — The moment-to-moment production of physical presentation by consciousness. The consensus is the settled product; the rendering is the process that keeps producing it.
- THE CONSENSUS** — The shared, stable version of reality that billions of minds hold in common. Solid because it is agreed upon and continuously corrected, not because it rests on dead matter outside mind.
- THE SUBSTRATE** — The underlying reality-principle from which consciousness, signal, and form arise.
- THE FIELD** — The relational medium in which signal propagates, instruments couple, consensus stabilizes, and impedance appears.
- THE INSTRUMENT (VESSEL, TRANSCIVER)** — The human being as a receiver-and-transmitter of signal to and from the deeper field — a tuner whose condition shapes the consciousness it can receive and express.
- COHERENCE** — The degree to which an instrument's many rhythms lock into one ordered whole. The book's master variable: the thing practice raises and the Lock lowers, and the thing the deeper reception is sensitive to.
- THE CHORD** — The instrument's many rhythms — cardiac, respiratory, and cortical — understood as one cross-scale relation. Phase integration tracks conscious state; the book interprets it as cleaner expression of consciousness while the question of generation versus tuning remains open.
- THE LOCK** — The captured ceiling on human perception — the set of means by which the accessible range of awareness is held artificially low.
- THE VEIL** — The natural, developmental narrowing of consciousness that comes with being in a body. Distinct from the Lock, which takes that narrowing and weaponizes it.
- BANDLIMIT / BANDWIDTH** — The range of reality the consensus admits as real — the ceiling's current setting.
- IMPEDANCE** — The resistance a signal meets when it tries to cross the ceiling: ridicule, pathologizing, professional cost, the friction on any report from above the line.

- THE IMPEDANCE REGIME** — The coordinated biological, cognitive, electromagnetic, institutional, and narrative system that keeps the captured bandlimit in place.
- PRECIPITATION** — The threshold crossing where signal condenses into experience, event, symbol, body, institution, or consensus.
- IMPRINT** — The pattern left in the field after a signal precipitates into form.
- EGREGORE** — A local consensus that sustains itself through attention, ritual, institution, or symbolic charge.
- THE PARASITIC ECOLOGY** — The claim that certain contracted human states yield an output that is fed on, and that much of the world is arranged as a food web to keep producing it.
- THE HARVEST / LOOSH** — The extraction of that output. Loosh is Robert Monroe's name for the harvested emotional distillate — the yield of fear and its family of contractions.
- WETIKO** — An Algonquian name for a self-concealing predatory sickness: a parasite that hides inside the host and is defended as the host's own healthy desire. Its cure is recognition.
- THE TRANSMISSION CHAIN** — The long relay of contemplative knowledge and method, carried across cultures and catastrophes by the mystery schools and wisdom traditions.
- THE WORK (THE GREAT WORK)** — The deliberate, lifelong project of raising one's own coherence — alchemy's true operation, and the counter-move to the Lock.
- THE FORGE** — The developmental pressure produced by the Lock and the ecology when the vessel can metabolize the pressure without being broken by it.
- MAXWELL'S DEMON (THE SORTING AGENT)** — A figure from physics: a system that builds local order by discriminating and remembering, paying a thermodynamic cost. The book's model of the instrument, and of consciousness itself.
- SELECTIVITY** — The sorting faculty that determines which signals are admitted, amplified, rejected, or ignored.
- AGENCY-FREQUENCY** — The realized rate at which an instrument's self-model participates in selecting its next state. Bandwidth multiplied by coherence sets the capacity ceiling; practice determines how often that capacity becomes deliberate choice.
- ACCUMULATED AGENCY-SECONDS** — Agency-frequency integrated across time and retained as durable pattern — agency as current becoming the charge deposited across a life.
- TORSION** — A proposed twisting field radiated by any accelerating spin, able to pass where ordinary fields are blocked. The book's candidate for the "life force" the traditions called chi or prana. Speculative.

**THE GOLDEN WOUND** — The irreducible incompleteness that keeps a system alive. The critical edge at which the greatest capacity and the greatest fragility are the same fact.

**CRITICALITY (THE EDGE OF CHAOS)** — The poised state between rigid order and dissolution where small inputs produce large reorganizations. Where the book locates both consciousness and transformation.

**THE THRESHOLD / PHASE TRANSITION** — The point at which a system undergoes a sudden, discontinuous reorganization — the civilizational transition the book reads as near.

**THE FORK (THE BIFURCATION)** — The claim that the species faces a phase change organized around two incompatible outcome basins: the completed enclosure and the recombination.

**SERVICE-TO-SELF / SERVICE-TO-OTHERS** — Two structural orientations of consciousness (from the Law of One). The polarity axis the book uses to tell predatory currents from developmental ones.

**THE ADVANCED WAVE** — A signal arriving from the future, permitted by time-symmetric physics — how a future condition can reach back to help shape the present.

**THE SELECTION** — The claim that consciousness is the rule that picks which of several self-consistent futures actually happens — the same faculty as the sorting demon, read across time.

**THE PERSISTENT PATTERN (THE SOUL)** — A configuration of coherence stable enough to persist without the body that first carried it.

**SYNCHRONICITY** — Meaningful coincidence, read as the selection registering: the world rhyming with an inner state because that state, holding coherence, helped choose which world filled in around it.

**HYPERSTITION** — A belief that, held by enough people, makes itself real and hardens into infrastructure. A currency is the plain example.

**THE PRECESSIONAL CLOCK (THE GREAT YEAR)** — The ~26,000-year wobble of Earth's axis, used here as a proposed civilizational clock. The astronomy is established; whether precession drives development or merely reads a deeper cycle remains open.

**THE SAECULUM** — The roughly 80-year generational-risk hypothesis associated with Strauss and Howe: as living memory of the last systemic crisis recedes, institutions and populations become more willing to repeat its risks. It stands independently of the precessional model.

**CRITICAL MASS** — The network condition at which a committed minority tips the shared consensus, shaped by headcount, topology, coherence, commitment, and retention.

**DISCERNMENT** — The trained faculty of holding real evidence and real distortion in view at once without collapsing to either. The book's apex skill.



**THE THREEFOLD READING** — The discipline of reading every contact claim through three lenses at once: Apparatus (which human interest benefits), Other (a genuine meeting), and Drift (what the witness's own mind supplied).

**THE VAGAL GATE** — The vagus nerve, which gates the shift between the reactive state the Lock feeds on and the coherent state the Work builds. Much of the Work is physically done here.

**NIGREDO, ALBEDO, CITRINITAS, RUBEDO** — Alchemy's four stages — blackening, whitening, yellowing, reddening — read as the map of a self being taken down to ash and rebuilt into a form that does not corrupt.

**THE PHILOSOPHER'S STONE** — The completed, incorruptible self: a coherence stable enough that it no longer degrades. The gold that does not tarnish.

**BOUNDARY SOVEREIGNTY** — The integrity of the boundary that defines a self, measured as temporal bandwidth — the span of time the self can hold together. A wider window is a larger, denser self.

**MANUFACTURED VOLATILITY** — Deliberately raising an environment's turbulence past a population's capacity to model it, collapsing everyone's planning horizon while the architects keep theirs.

**THE INHABITED FIELD (THE ASTRAL ECOLOGY)** — The claim that the rendering's deeper layers are populated, carrying a predatory current and a constructive one — imperceptible at ordinary bandwidth, and told apart by whether they act uninvited.

# RECENSION PROVENANCE

---

*Each recension names the corpus source and content blob from which it was cut. Source commit: f88c4b74ceb8.*

## **EDITION METADATA**

book/manifest.yml · 1ef47b41b2d0 · CURRENT

## **THE COMPANION READINGS**

book/companion.json · 907acbb5a9d8 · CURRENT

## **SPINE STRUCTURE AND REFERENCES**

wiki/Timewar.md · f30b305e0e2e · CURRENT

## **THE COMPANION GLOSSARY**

book/glossary.json · cefbdf8e83f3 · CURRENT

## **CLAIMS DISCIPLINE**

book/claims.json · 24dbf33e26c4 · CURRENT



This edition was compiled from the living corpus at [timewar.org](https://timewar.org), recension `companion-2026.1`, cut July 2026 from source commit `f88c4b74ceb8` and built 2026-07-14.

Set in EB Garamond with display in Cormorant Garamond and apparatus in JetBrains Mono, composed by typst 0.14.2 (unknown hash). Source: <https://github.com/rewtraw/timewar>.

Published by `tratium` under CC BY 4.0. DOI: deferred — pending a Zenodo credential with deposit permissions. The wiki remains the living text; this edition is its fixed impression.

EX UMBRIS IN VERITATEM